

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/543,134	07/25/2005	Hironobu Moriyama	124798	4332	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 04/26/2007 RIDGE, PLC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1992	28		HARRIS, GARY D		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1773		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	04/26/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				h
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/543,134	MORIYAMA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	······································
		Gary D. Harris	1773	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the plant of the plant is a second of the	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D RISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	<u>uly 2005</u> .		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	S
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application			
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•	
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>			
	application from the International Bureau		ed in this National Stage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ','	ed.	
		- 1110 001111104 00p100 1101 1000111	<b>.</b>	
Attachmen	t(s)			
1) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/543,134

Art Unit: 1773

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8-10 & 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Inagaki et al. US 6,696,197.

As to Claim 1, Inagaki et al. '197 discloses a liquid absorbing polymeric material formed into a sheet designed to absorb electrolyte (Col. 13, Line 62-67). Electrolytic absorbing sheets disclosed by Inagaki et al. '197 may contain monofunctioning monomers and polyfunctioning monomers (Col. 14, Line 1-18) from a secondary battery source (Col. 1, Line 27-30).

As to Claim 8, Inagaki et al. '197 discloses by example 22 that the liquid absorbing sheet prevented leakage to the circuit substrate section (Col. 24, Line 43-56).

As to Claim 9, Inagaki et al. '197 discloses an electrolyte absorbing sheet between heat conducting sheet and secondary battery unit (Col. 4, Line 52-64).

Application/Control Number: 10/543,134

Art Unit: 1773

As to Claim 10, Inagaki et al. '197 discloses the use of lithium hexafluoro phosphate (Col. 10, Line 9-18) and flame retardant (Col. 13, Line 16-19).

As to Claim 13, Inagaki et al. '197 discloses a nonaqueous electrolyte battery pack comprising a battery cell, circuit board and battery case (Col. 13, Line 30-44).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inagaki et al. US 6,696,197as applied to claim 1, 8-10 & 13 above.

As to Claim 2,3, Inagaki et al. '197 does not disclose solubility parameters. However, these properties are inherent because the applicants and the inventors teach virtually identical structures with similar materials. The physical properties of similar materials will inherently be similar. The burden of proof is shifted to the applicant to show the prior art properties are different from those claimed. See In re Fitzgerald, 619 F. 2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/543,134

Art Unit: 1773

As to Claim 4, 5, 6, 7 & 12, Inagaki et al. '197 discloses the desirability of using a homopolymer and/or copolymer in the absorbing sheet (Col. 13, Line 62-67). Inagaki et al. '197 does not disclose UV-polymerization initiator per weight of monomer and irradiation with UV-rays. However the patentability of a product is independent of how it was made. Ex parte Jungfer 18 USPQ 1796, 1800 (BPAI 1991); Brystol-Myers Co. v. U.S. International Trade Commission 15 USPQ 2d 1258 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The burden is on applicants to show product differences in product by process claims. In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See MPEP 2113.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inagaki et al. US 6,696,197 as applied to claim 1, 8-10 & 13 above, and further in view of Moritani et al US 4,929,482.

As to Claim 11, Inagaki et al. '197 does not disclose the use of bisphenol as a flame retardant or the flame retardant present in the monomer. However, Inagaki et al. '197 does disclose that the type of electrolyte absorbing sheet is not particularly limited (Col. 14, Line 19-20). However, Moritani et al. '482 discloses the addition of heat stabilizers including bisphenols (Col. 3, Line 1-25). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize bisphenols as taught by Moritani et al. '482 in the Inagaki et al. '197 invention as phenols are well known in the industry as heat stabilizers.

Art Unit: 1773

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary D. Harris whose telephone number is 571-272-6508. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM - 5PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GH

CAROL CHANEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER